In re: Kenneth R. Gersing Serial No.: 09/803,492 Filed: March 9, 2001

Page 13 of 13

## **REMARKS**

In response to the Restriction Requirement of June 29, 2005, Applicant hereby elects Invention II, corresponding to Claims 9-23, 31, 35 and 39. This election is not being made with traverse, because Applicant respectfully submits that Inventions I, III and IV are patentably distinct from Invention II.

However, Applicant also wishes to point out to the Examiner that "Invention" III is really a set of generic claims that covers the subject matter of Invention I and Invention II generically. Accordingly, Inventions I and II really are "species" inventions relative to the generic invention of Invention III.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to treat the election of "Invention II" as an election of "Species II", and to examine the claims of Species II and the generic claims of Invention III (Claims 24-29, 32-33 and 36). Upon allowance of any of the generic Claims 24-29, 32-33 and 36, Applicant respectfully requests rejoining of the "Species I" Claims 1-8, 30, 34 and 37-38.

In conclusion, Applicant respectfully requests examination of all of Claims 1-39 and allowance of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Mitchell S. Bigel

Registration No. 29,614

Attorney for Applicants

Customer Number 20792

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.

P.O. Box 37428

Raleigh, NC 27627

919-854-1400

919-854-1401 (Fax)

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile number 571-273-8300 on July 15, 2005.

Susan E. Freedman

Date of Signature: July 15, 2005